

2006 House Vote Descriptions

1. \$70 Billion More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy

AFSCME Position: No

Following four previous tax-cutting bills driven by President Bush — benefiting mainly wealthy individuals and special interests — a fifth tax reduction bill (H.R. 4297) was approved by the House of Representatives that handed over \$70 billion in new tax cuts to the wealthy. Although the administration claims that the tax reductions benefit middle-income taxpayers, the truth is that just 5 percent of the benefits go to families with incomes below \$75,000, with 68 percent of working families receiving no tax benefits at all.

House Vote Number 135

AFSCME opposed the bill, which the House approved by a vote of 244-185 on May 10, 2006.

2. Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs

AFSCME Position: No

Over a five-year period, the House budget blueprint (H. Con. Res. 376), which was approved by the House of Representatives on May 18, 2006, cuts nondefense spending by \$160 billion. This proposed funding for domestic programs is well below what is needed to sustain these programs at their current levels. If implemented, it would result in deep cuts to education, veterans' health care, law enforcement, transportation and other services benefiting working families. The budget plan also threatens funding for the jobs of hundreds of thousands of AFSCME members and creates even more tax cuts for the wealthy.

House Vote Number 158

AFSCME opposed the budget plan, which the House adopted by a vote of 218-210 on May 18, 2006.

3. Estate Tax Repeal

AFSCME Position: No

The House of Representatives approved a “scaled back” version of a bill (H.R. 5638) to repeal the estate tax. The House had approved a repeal of the federal estate tax in 2005 that would have cost the U.S. Treasury nearly \$1 trillion between 2012 and 2021, but the Senate rejected this outrageous giveaway to the wealthiest individuals. The slightly scaled back bill would exempt estates of less than \$5 million for an individual and \$10 million for a couple. With a price tag of \$774 billion, this tax cut — along with the earlier Bush tax cuts — would further deprive working families of vital domestic services while providing yet another windfall to wealthy Americans.

House Vote Number 315

AFSCME opposed the bill, which the House approved by a vote of 269-156 on June 22, 2006.

4. Presidential Line-Item Veto Power

AFSCME Position: No

The House of Representatives approved a bill (H.R. 4890) that would give the President unprecedented control over defense and domestic spending, which could mean more money for defense contractors and less money for hard-working Americans, low-income children and the elderly. This bill would give the President line-item authority to cancel specific spending and tax provisions that have already been approved by the Congress and would give the Executive Branch much more control over spending than the framers of the Constitution envisioned.

House Vote Number 317

AFSCME opposed the bill, which the House approved by a vote of 247-172 on June 22, 2006.

5. Giving Workers a Livable Wage

AFSCME Position: Yes

Rep. George Miller (D-Calif.), in an attempt to draw attention to the need to raise the minimum wage, offered a motion to instruct joint House and Senate conferees meeting on an education bill (S. 250) to include a minimum wage increase in that bill. Although this was a nonbinding motion, Miller wanted to put lawmakers on record in support of raising the minimum wage so that poor working families could enjoy a long-deserved wage increase.

House Vote Number 366

AFSCME supported the motion, which the House approved by a vote of 260-159 on July 12, 2006.

6. No to Tax Cuts for the Wealthy on the Backs of Minimum Wage Earners

AFSCME Position: Yes

In a not-so-cleverly-disguised election year move, the House of Representatives approved a minimum wage bill (H.R. 5970) but cynically tacked on repeal of the estate tax along with a group of expiring tax breaks for corporations and the wealthy. This bill would raise the minimum wage by \$2.10 to \$7.25, but would also exempt estates of \$5 million per individual and \$10 million per couple from any taxation at all, costing the U.S. Treasury \$750 billion over the next 10 years. Rep. George Miller (D-Calif.) offered a procedural motion to send the bill back to committee to strip out the estate tax and force a clean vote on the minimum wage bill. AFSCME strongly supports raising the minimum wage but is opposed to tying it to a tax cut for the wealthiest estates.

House Vote Number 424

AFSCME supported the motion to recommit the bill, which the House rejected by a vote of 220-190 on July 29, 2006.

7. Modern Version of Poll Tax

AFSCME Position: No

The House of Representatives approved a bill (H.R. 4844) that would require all voters to present a U.S. government-issued ID in order to vote in U.S. elections or provide a photocopy of a U.S. government-issued photo identification when voting by mail. This modern-day version of the “poll tax” would suppress voter turnout, especially among elderly and low-income Americans, by requiring millions of voters to go to the expense and time of obtaining a new federal photo identification card.

House Vote Number 459

AFSCME opposed the bill, which the House approved by a vote of 228-196 on September 20, 2006.

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
Alabama											
1 Bonner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	29	3%
2 Everett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5	120	4%
3 Rogers, M.D. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	14%	3	28	10%
4 Aderholt (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	14%	9	70	11%
5 Cramer (D)	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	57%	102	59	63%
6 Bachus, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5	119	4%
7 Davis, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	29	2	94%
Alaska											
AL Young, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	29%	85	204	29%
Arizona											
1 Renzi (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	14%	4	27	13%
2 Franks, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	30	0%
3 Shadegg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	7	101	6%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
4 Pastor (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	147	5	97%
5 Hayworth (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	103	2%
6 Flake (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5	44	10%
7 Grijalva (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	31	0	100%
8 Kolbe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	21	191	10%
Arkansas											
1 Berry (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	70	10	88%
2 Snyder (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	71	8	90%
3 Boozman (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	41	2%
4 Ross, M. (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	45	5	90%
California											
1 Thompson, M. (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	57	6	90%
2 Herger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8	189	4%
3 Lungren (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	2	55	4%
4 Doolittle (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	14%	7	151	4%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
5 Matsui (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	13	0	100%		
6 Woolsey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	124	1	99%		
7 Miller, George (D)	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	100%	275	11	96%		
8 Pelosi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	186	2	99%		
9 Lee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	70	0	100%		
10 Tauscher (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	67	13	84%		
11 Pombo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	117	5%		
12 Lantos (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	229	15	94%		
13 Stark (D)	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	100%	289	8	97%		
14 Eshoo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	116	8	94%		
15 Honda (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	49	0	100%		
16 Lofgren (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100	6	94%		
17 Farr (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	116	3	97%		
18 Cardoza (D)	?	+	-	-	+	+	+	67%	27	3	90%		
19 Radanovich (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	102	1%		
20 Costa (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	13	2	87%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
21 Nunes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%
22 Thomas, W. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	25	225	10%
23 Capps (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	67	5	93%
24 Gallegly (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	16	180	8%
25 McKeon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	123	2%
26 Dreier (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	7	241	3%
27 Sherman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	77	3	96%
28 Berman (D)	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	100%	213	9	96%
29 Schiff (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	47	3	94%
30 Waxman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	280	11	96%
31 Becerra (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	110	2	98%
32 Solis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	50	0	100%
33 Watson (D)	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	100%	40	1	98%
34 Roybal-Allard (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	301	12	96%
35 Waters (D)	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	100%	148	2	99%
36 Harman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	44	5	90%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1 N	2 N	3 N	4 N	5 Y	6 Y	7 N	R	W	%R			
37 Millender-McDonald (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	84	0	100%		
38 Napolitano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	60	0	100%		
39 Sanchez, Linda (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	31	0	100%		
40 Royce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	120	2%		
41 Lewis, Jerry (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	14%	32	210	13%		
42 Miller, Gary (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	60	0%		
43 Baca (D)	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	100%	52	1	98%		
44 Calvert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	120	2%		
45 Bono (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	68	3%		
46 Rohrabacher (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8	173	4%		
47 Sanchez, Loretta (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	74	5	94%		
48 Campbell (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	9	0%		
49 Issa (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	48	2%		
50 Bilbray (R)	?	?	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	5	0%		
51 Filner (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	118	1	99%		
52 Hunter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	17	226	7%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
53 Davis, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	39	1	98%
Colorado											
1 DeGette (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	74	1	99%
2 Udall, M. (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	57	4	93%
3 Salazar, J. (D)	-	+	-	+	+	?	+	67%	12	2	86%
4 Musgrave (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%
5 Hefley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	16	179	8%
6 Tancredo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5	58	8%
7 Beauprez (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%
Connecticut											
1 Larson, J. (D)	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	100%	61	1	98%
2 Simmons (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	29%	17	33	34%
3 DeLauro (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	155	4	97%
4 Shays (R)	-	-	?	?	+	-	-	20%	73	119	38%
5 Johnson, N. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	81	147	36%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
Delaware											
AL Castle (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	26	99	21%
Florida											
1 Miller, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	41	5%
2 Boyd (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	59	19	76%
3 Brown, C. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	123	0	100%
4 Crenshaw (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	49	2%
5 Brown-Waite (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	27	4%
6 Stearns (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	14	164	8%
7 Mica (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	119	5%
8 Keller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%	0	46	0%
9 Bilirakis (R)	-	-	-	-	+	?	-	17%	36	191	16%
10 Young, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	32	187	15%
11 Davis, Jim (D)	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	100%	67	10	87%
12 Putnam (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	49	2%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
13 Harris (R)	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	0%	0	28	0%
14 Mack (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%
15 Weldon, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	101	4%
16 Foley (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	7	99	7%
17 Meek, K. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	31	0	100%
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	0%	47	124	27%
19 Wexler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	77	1	99%
20 Wasserman Schultz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	15	0	100%
21 Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	31	94	25%
22 Shaw (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	22	222	9%
23 Hastings, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	116	1	99%
24 Feeney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	28	3%
25 Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%
Georgia											
1 Kingston (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	121	2%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
2 Bishop, S. (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	103	19	84%		
3 Marshall (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	43%	20	11	65%		
4 McKinney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	100%	51	2	96%		
5 Lewis, John (D)	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	100%	190	4	98%		
6 Price, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
7 Linder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	1	75	1%		
8 Westmoreland (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
9 Norwood (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	99	6%		
10 Deal (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	11	112	9%		
11 Gingrey (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%		
12 Barrow (D)	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	43%	9	6	60%		
13 Scott, D. (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	27	3	90%		
Hawaii													
1 Abercrombie (D)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	71%	147	9	94%		
2 Case (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	?	50%	26	4	87%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
Idaho											
1 Otter (R)	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	29%	4	46	8%
2 Simpson (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	14%	4	58	6%
Illinois											
1 Rush (D)	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	100%	119	0	100%
2 Jackson, J. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	94	0	100%
3 Lipinski (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	14	1	93%
4 Gutierrez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	116	1	99%
5 Emanuel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	30	0	100%
6 Hyde (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	34	257	12%
7 Davis, D. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	79	1	99%
8 Bean (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	43%	10	5	67%
9 Schakowsky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	63	0	100%
10 Kirk (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	6	44	12%
11 Weller (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	17	88	16%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
12 Costello (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	159	23	87%		
13 Biggert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	60	5%		
14 Hastert (R)	-	-	-	-	?	-	?	0%	10	170	6%		
15 Johnson, Timothy (R)	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	29%	16	34	32%		
16 Manzullo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	121	3%		
17 Evans (D)	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	0%	218	2	99%		
18 LaHood (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	18	87	17%		
19 Shimkus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10	69	13%		
Indiana													
1 Visclosky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	195	20	91%		
2 Chocola (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%		
3 Souder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	8	97	8%		
4 Buyer (R)	-	-	-	+	-	?	-	17%	9	112	7%		
5 Burton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	12	210	5%		
6 Pence (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	49	2%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
7 Carson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	73	2	97%		
8 Hostettler (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	14%	19	85	18%		
9 Sodrel (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
Iowa													
1 Nussle (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	9	152	6%		
2 Leach (R)	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	29%	105	180	37%		
3 Boswell (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	66	11	86%		
4 Latham (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	4	102	4%		
5 King, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	30	0%		
Kansas													
1 Moran, Jerry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	9	71	11%		
2 Ryun, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	78	3%		
3 Moore, D. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	100%	55	7	89%		
4 Tiahrt (R)	-	-	+	-	?	-	-	17%	5	100	5%		
Kentucky													

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
1 Whitfield (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11	94	10%		
2 Lewis, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	5	103	5%		
3 Northup (R)	-	-	-	+	?	?	-	20%	6	71	8%		
4 Davis, G. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	14	7%		
5 Rogers, H. (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	29%	32	215	13%		
6 Chandler (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	17	4	81%		
Louisiana													
1 Jindal (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
2 Jefferson (D)	+	+	-	?	+	+	+	83%	138	11	93%		
3 Melancon (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	57%	12	3	80%		
4 McCrery (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11	168	6%		
5 Alexander, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	13	18	42%		
6 Baker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	6	178	3%		
7 Boustany (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
Maine													

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							CAREER CUMULATIVE			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	R	W	%R
1 Allen, T. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	78	2	98%
2 Michaud (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	31	0	100%
Maryland											
1 Gilchrest (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	27	128	17%
2 Ruppersberger (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	28	2	93%
3 Cardin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	186	10	95%
4 Wynn (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	116	8	94%
5 Hoyer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	233	14	94%
6 Bartlett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	9	115	7%
7 Cummings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	87	1	99%
8 Van Hollen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	31	0	100%
Massachusetts											
1 Olver (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	152	3	98%
2 Neal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	170	9	95%
3 McGovern (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	80	0	100%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
4 Frank, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	240	8	97%		
5 Meehan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	100%	106	9	92%		
6 Tierney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	79	1	99%		
7 Markey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	273	10	96%		
8 Capuano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	61	0	100%		
9 Lynch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	42	0	100%		
10 Delahunt (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	77	2	97%		
Michigan													
1 Stupak (D)	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	100%	114	7	94%		
2 Hoekstra (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	117	5%		
3 Ehlers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	105	5%		
4 Camp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11	149	7%		
5 Kildee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	105	19	85%		
6 Upton (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	38	160	19%		
7 Schwarz, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	14	7%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
8 Rogers, M.J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	48	4%		
9 Knollenberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	121	3%		
10 Miller, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	4	27	13%		
11 McCotter (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	4	27	13%		
12 Levin, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	219	10	96%		
13 Kilpatrick (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	79	0	100%		
14 Conyers (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	276	8	97%		
15 Dingell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	292	20	94%		
Minnesota													
1 Gutknecht (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	7	98	7%		
2 Kline (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%		
3 Ramstad (R)	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	29%	29	132	18%		
4 McCollum (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	50	0	100%		
5 Sabo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	251	10	96%		
6 Kennedy, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	49	2%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
7 Peterson, C. (D)	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	57%	109	51	68%		
8 Oberstar (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	283	15	95%		
Mississippi													
1 Wicker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	104	2%		
2 Thompson, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	118	2	98%		
3 Pickering (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	78	3%		
4 Taylor, G. (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	71%	76	93	45%		
Missouri													
1 Clay (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	46	3	94%		
2 Akin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	49	2%		
3 Carnahan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	15	0	100%		
4 Skelton (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	177	101	64%		
5 Cleaver (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	13	0	100%		
6 Graves (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	48	2%		
7 Blunt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	74	4%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
8 Emerson (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	29%	13	54	19%		
9 Hulshof (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	76	4%		
Montana													
AL Rehberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	49	2%		
Nebraska													
1 Fortenberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
2 Terry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	62	2%		
3 Osborne (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	47	6%		
Nevada													
1 Berkley (D)	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	100%	57	3	95%		
2 Gibbons (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	7	72	9%		
3 Porter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%		
New Hampshire													
1 Bradley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	14%	2	29	6%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
2 Bass (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	14%	5	101	5%
New Jersey											
1 Andrews (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	135	25	84%
2 LoBiondo (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	36	70	34%
3 Saxton (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	50	162	24%
4 Smith, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	113	135	46%
5 Garrett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%
6 Pallone (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	159	22	88%
7 Ferguson (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	6	43	12%
8 Pascrell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	75	3	96%
9 Rothman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	75	3	96%
10 Payne (D)	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	100%	167	2	99%
11 Frelinghuysen (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	99	6%
12 Holt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	60	3	95%
13 Menendez (D)	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	0%	114	3	97%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
New Mexico													
1 Wilson, H. (R)	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	43%	11	55	17%		
2 Pearce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%		
3 Udall, T. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	63	0	100%		
New York													
1 Bishop, T. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	31	0	100%		
2 Israel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	45	4	92%		
3 King, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	30	92	25%		
4 McCarthy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	71	7	91%		
5 Ackerman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	205	9	96%		
6 Meeks, G. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	69	1	99%		
7 Crowley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	63	0	100%		
8 Nadler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	123	1	99%		
9 Weiner (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	62	1	98%		
10 Towns (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	203	3	99%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
11 Owens (D)	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	100%	216	4	98%
12 Velazquez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	121	2	98%
13 Fossella (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	67	1%
14 Maloney (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	122	3	98%
15 Rangel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	299	9	97%
16 Serrano (D)	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	100%	162	3	98%
17 Engel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	177	1	99%
18 Lowey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	175	6	97%
19 Kelly (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	19	87	18%
20 Sweeney (R)	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	43%	13	50	21%
21 McNulty (D)	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	100%	160	11	94%
22 Hinchey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	124	0	100%
23 McHugh (R)	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	29%	38	85	31%
24 Boehlert (R)	+	-	-	-	+	?	-	33%	121	107	53%
25 Walsh (R)	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	29%	43	137	24%
26 Reynolds (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	61	2%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
27 Higgins (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	15	0	100%		
28 Slaughter (D)	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	100%	187	7	96%		
29 Kuhl (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	14	7%		
North Carolina													
1 Butterfield (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	16	0	100%		
2 Etheridge (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	71	9	89%		
3 Jones, W. (R)	-	+	-	+	+	?	-	50%	17	85	17%		
4 Price, David (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	75	5	94%		
5 Foxx (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
6 Coble (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	15	190	7%		
7 McIntyre (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	57%	56	23	71%		
8 Hayes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	59	6%		
9 Myrick (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	102	3%		
10 McHenry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
11 Taylor, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	13	143	8%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
12 Watt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	121	3	98%		
13 Miller, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	30	1	97%		
North Dakota													
AL Pomeroy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	112	13	90%		
Ohio													
1 Chabot (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	101	4%		
2 Schmidt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	12	0%		
3 Turner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%		
4 Oxley (R)	-	-	-	?	-	?	-	0%	14	225	6%		
5 Gillmor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	22	155	12%		
6 Strickland (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	?	83%	77	2	97%		
7 Hobson (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	14%	23	138	14%		
8 Boehner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	155	2%		
9 Kaptur (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	205	17	92%		
10 Kucinich (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	76	0	100%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							CAREER CUMULATIVE			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	R	W	%R
11 Jones, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	62	0	100%
12 Tiberi (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	50	0%
13 Brown, S. (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	119	5	96%
14 LaTourette (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	26	80	25%
15 Pryce, Deborah (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	8	114	7%
16 Regula (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	79	244	24%
17 Ryan, T. (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	29	1	97%
18 Ney (R)	-	-	-	-	+	+	?	33%	23	84	21%
Oklahoma											
1 Sullivan (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	2	38	5%
2 Boren (D)	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	43%	10	5	67%
3 Lucas (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	102	3%
4 Cole (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%
5 Istook (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	7	113	6%
Oregon											

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
1 Wu (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	59	4	94%		
2 Walden (R)	-	-	-	-	+	?	-	17%	6	56	10%		
3 Blumenauer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	83	2	98%		
4 DeFazio (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	179	16	92%		
5 Hooley (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	69	10	87%		
Pennsylvania													
1 Brady, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	67	1	99%		
2 Fattah (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	102	2	98%		
3 English (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	25	80	24%		
4 Hart (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	50	0%		
5 Peterson, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	74	4%		
6 Gerlach (R)	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	29%	5	25	17%		
7 Weldon, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	58	137	30%		
8 Fitzpatrick (R)	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	29%	3	12	20%		
9 Shuster (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	44	4%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
10 Sherwood (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	3	60	5%		
11 Kanjorski (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	193	22	90%		
12 Murtha (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	266	38	88%		
13 Schwartz, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	15	0	100%		
14 Doyle (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	95	10	90%		
15 Dent (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	14	7%		
16 Pitts (R)	-	-	?	?	-	-	-	0%	2	76	3%		
17 Holden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	111	12	90%		
18 Murphy (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	5	26	16%		
19 Platts (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	7	43	14%		
Rhode Island													
1 Kennedy, P. (D)	?	?	+	+	+	+	?	100%	100	0	100%		
2 Langevin (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	47	1	98%		
South Carolina													
1 Brown, H. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	50	0%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R		
2 Wilson, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	40	2%		
3 Barrett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%		
4 Inglis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
5 Spratt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	186	40	82%		
6 Clyburn (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	117	5	96%		
South Dakota													
AL Herseth (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	15	4	79%		
Tennessee													
1 Jenkins (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	76	5%		
2 Duncan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	17	165	9%		
3 Wamp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	6	100	6%		
4 Davis, L. (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	57%	22	9	71%		
5 Cooper (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	27	4	87%		
6 Gordon (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	57%	163	47	78%		
7 Blackburn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
8 Tanner (D)	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	71%	119	57	68%		
9 Ford (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	57%	64	10	86%		
Texas													
1 Gohmert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	0	14	0%		
2 Poe (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	14	7%		
3 Johnson, S. (R)	-	-	?	?	-	-	-	0%	4	148	3%		
4 Hall, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	51	193	21%		
5 Hensarling (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	30	3%		
6 Barton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	10	192	5%		
7 Culberson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	46	4%		
8 Brady, K. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	80	0%		
9 Green, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	15	0	100%		
10 McCaul (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
11 Conaway (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
12 Granger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	0%	2	74	3%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

	Year: 2006							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT	CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R
Vote No.:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
Support Position:	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N				
	\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy	Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs	Estate Tax Repeal	Presidential Line-Item Veto Power	Giving Workers a Livable Wage	No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners	Modern Version of Poll Tax				
13 Thornberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	105	1%
14 Paul (R)	-	?	-	+	-	-	-	17%	31	43	42%
15 Hinojosa (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	73	6	92%
16 Reyes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	76	2	97%
17 Edwards (D)	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	57%	117	40	75%
18 Jackson Lee, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	101	3	97%
19 Neugebauer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	28	0%
20 Gonzalez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	60	2	97%
21 Smith, L. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	18	172	9%
22 DeLay (R)	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	0%	4	204	2%
23 Bonilla (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	5	120	4%
24 Marchant (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%
25 Doggett (D)	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	100%	98	5	95%
26 Burgess (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%
27 Ortiz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	181	40	82%
28 Cuellar (D)	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	57%	11	4	73%

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
29 Green, G. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	118	4	97%		
30 Johnson, E. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	118	5	96%		
31 Carter (R)	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	0%	0	30	0%		
32 Sessions, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	0%	1	77	1%		
Utah													
1 Bishop, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	31	0%		
2 Matheson (D)	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	43%	38	12	76%		
3 Cannon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	2	75	3%		
Vermont													
AL Sanders (I)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	156	5	97%		
Virginia													
1 Davis, Jo Ann (R)	-	-	-	-	?	?	-	0%	4	43	9%		
2 Drake (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
3 Scott, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	124	1	99%		
4 Forbes (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	1	44	2%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
5 Goode (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	14%	12	68	15%		
6 Goodlatte (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	4	121	3%		
7 Cantor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	50	0%		
8 Moran, James (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	135	25	84%		
9 Boucher (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	193	26	88%		
10 Wolf (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	32	217	13%		
11 Davis, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	11	93	11%		
Washington													
1 Inslee (D)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	86%	59	4	94%		
2 Larsen, R. (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	46	4	92%		
3 Baird (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	60	3	95%		
4 Hastings, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	101	1%		
5 McMorris (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%		
6 Dicks (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	246	33	88%		
7 McDermott (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	167	6	97%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT		CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		R	W	%R	
8 Reichert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0	15	0%	
9 Smith, A. (D)	?	+	+	-	+	+	+	83%	65	8	89%	
West Virginia												
1 Mollohan (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	195	27	88%	
2 Capito (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	14%	9	41	18%	
3 Rahall (D)	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	86%	247	28	90%	
Wisconsin												
1 Ryan, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1	62	2%	
2 Baldwin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	62	0	100%	
3 Kind, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	74	5	94%	
4 Moore, G. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	15	0	100%	
5 Sensenbrenner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	21	240	8%	
6 Petri (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	39	223	15%	
7 Obey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	300	20	94%	
8 Green, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	3	60	5%	

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large

Year: 2006 Vote No.: Support Position:	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">\$70 Million More in Tax Cuts for the Wealthy</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Budget Plan Devastates Key Domestic Programs</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Estate Tax Repeal</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Presidential Line-Item Veto Power</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Giving Workers a Livable Wage</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No to Tax Cuts for Wealthy on Back of Minimum Wage Earners</div> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Modern Version of Poll Tax</div> </div>							SESSION PERCENT SUPPORT			CAREER CUMULATIVE		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	R	W	%R			
Wyoming AL Cubin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	0%	2	96	2%		

Key: + Favorable, - Unfavorable, ? Not Voting or Not Then a Member of Congress, AL = At Large